Docket No. 0042-0483P

Appl. No.: 10/618,686

Art Unit: 1731

Amendment dated May 24, 2005

Reply to Office Action of January 24, 2005

Page 4 of 6

REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's thorough consideration provided in

the present application. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are currently pending in the

instant application. Claim 1 has been amended and claims 3, 6 and 7 have

been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer to the subject matter contained

therein. Claim 1 is independent. Reconsideration of the present application is

earnestly solicited.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's indication of allowable subject

matter. Specifically, the subject matter of claims 3, 4, 6 and 7 has been

indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent format. Without

conceding the propriety of the Examiner's rejections, but merely to expedite the

prosecution of the present application, Applicants have amended claim 1 to

include the allowable features of previously presented claim 3. In addition,

claims 3, 6 and 7 have been cancelled. Accordingly, as indicated by the

Examiner in the Final Office Action, all of the claims of the present application

should be allowed and the present application should be permitted to issue.

Docket No. 0042-0483P

Appl. No.: 10/618,686

Art Unit: 1731

Amendment dated May 24, 2005

Reply to Office Action of January 24, 2005

Page 5 of 6

Claim Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1, 2 and 5 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Milkovic et al. (DE 42 02 750). This rejection is respectfully

traversed.

In light of the foregoing amendments to the claims, Applicants submit

that this rejection has been obviated and/or rendered moot. Without

conceding the propriety of the Examiner's rejections, but merely to expedite the

prosecution of the present application, Applicants have amended claim 1 to

include the allowable features of claim 3. Accordingly, this rejection should be

withdrawn.

As to the dependent claims, Applicants respectfully submit that these

claims are allowable due to their dependence upon an allowable independent

claim, as well as for additional limitations provided by these claims.

CONCLUSION

Since the remaining references cited by the Examiner have not been

utilized to reject the claims, but merely to show the state-of- the-art, no further

comments are deemed necessary with respect thereto.

Docket No. 0042-0483P

Appl. No.: 10/618,686

Art Unit: 1731

Amendment dated May 24, 2005

Reply to Office Action of January 24, 2005

Page 6 of 6

All the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed and/or

rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner

reconsider all presently pending rejections and that they be withdrawn.

In the event there are any matters remaining in this application, the

Examiner is invited to contact Matthew T. Shanley, Registration No. 47,074 at

(703) 205-8000 in the Washington, D.C. area.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.17 and 1.136(a), Applicants respectfully

petition for a one (1) month extension of time for filing a reply in connection

with the present application, and the required fee of \$120.00 is attached

hereto.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent,

and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit

Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or

1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

✓ James M. Slattery

Reg. No. 28,380

P. O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

JMS/MTS/cl